1 2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

The International Linear Collider A Global Project^{*}

Prepared by: Hiroaki Aihara, Jonathan Bagger, Philip Bambade, Barry Barish, Ties Behnke, Alain Bellerive, 3 Mikael Berggren, James Brau, Philip Burrows, Massimo Caccia, Paul Colas, Dmitri Denisov, Gerald Eigen, Lyn Evans, Angeles Faus-Golfe, Brian Foster, Keisuke Fujii, Juan Fuster, Frank Gaede, Jie Gao, Paul 5 Grannis, Christophe Grojean, Andrew Hutton, Marek Idzik, Andrea Jeremie, Kiyotomo Kawagoe, Sachio 6 Komamiya, Tadeusz Lesiak, Aharon Levy, Benno List, Jenny List, Shinichiro Michizono, Akiya Miyamoto, 7 Joachim Mnich, Hugh Montgomery, Olivier Napoly, Yasuhiro Okada, Carlo Pagani, Michael Peskin, Roman 8 Poeschl, Francois Richard, Aidan Robson, Thomas Schoerner-Sadenius, Marcel Stanitzki, Steinar Stapnes, 9 Atsuto Suzuki, Junping Tian, Maksym Titov, Marcel Vos, Nicholas Walker, Hans Weise, Andrew White, 10 Graham Wilson, Marc Winter, Sakue Yamada, Akira Yamamoto, Hitoshi Yamamoto and Satoru Yamashita, 11 Authors' institution and/or address 12 13

(Representing the Linear Collider Collaboration and the global ILC community.)

(Dated: December 5, 2018)

Abstract

A large, world-wide community of physicists is working to realise an exceptional physics program of electron-positron collisions with the International Linear Collider (ILC). This program will begin with a central focus on high-precision and model-independent measurements of the Higgs boson couplings. This method of searching for new physics beyond the Standard Model is orthogonal to and complements the LHC physics program. The ILC at 250 GeV will also search for direct new physics in exotic Higgs decays and in pair-production of weakly interacting particles. Polarised electron and positron beams add to the physics reach. The ILC can be upgraded to higher energy, enabling precision studies of the top quark and measurement of the top Yukawa coupling and the Higgs self-coupling.

The key accelerator technology, superconducting radio-frequency cavities, has matured. Optimised collider and detector designs, and associated physics analyses, were presented in the ILC Technical Design Report, signed by 2400 scientists.

There is a strong interest in Japan to host this international effort. A detailed review of the many aspects of the project is nearing a conclusion in Japan. Now the Japanese government is preparing for a decision on the next phase of international negotiations, that could lead to a project start within a few years. The potential timeline of the ILC project includes an initial phase of about 4 years to obtain international agreements, complete engineering design and prepare construction, and form the requisite international collaboration, followed by a construction phase of 9 years.

³⁴ Supporting documents: https://linearcollider.web.cern.ch/content/ilc-european-strategy-document

35

I. INTRODUCTION

93

A central issue in particle physics today is the search 36 for new phenomena needed to address shortcomings of 37 the highly successful Standard Model (SM). These new 38 effects can manifest themselves as new particles, new 39 forces, or deviations in the predictions of the SM derived 40 from high-precision measurements. While the SM is the-41 ore tically self-consistent, it leaves many issues of particle $^{\rm 100}$ 42 physics unaddressed. It has no place for the dark matter¹⁰¹ 43 and dark energy observed in the cosmos, and it cannot¹⁰² 44 explain the excess of matter over antimatter. It has noth-45 ing to say about the mass scale of quarks, leptons, and¹⁰⁴ 46 Higgs and gauge bosons, which is much less than the 47 Planck scale. It has nothing to say about the large mass¹⁰⁶ 48 ratios among these particles. These and other issues mo-49 tivate intense efforts to challenge the predictions of the¹⁰⁸ 50 SM and search for clues to what lies beyond it. 51

The Higgs boson, discovered in 2012 at the Large¹¹⁰ Hadron Collider, is central to the SM, since it is the ori-¹¹¹ gin of electroweak symmetry-breaking and gives mass to¹¹² all known elementary particles. The study of the properties and interactions of the Higgs boson is thus of utmost¹¹⁴ importance.¹¹⁵

The International Linear Collider (ILC) has the ca-¹¹⁶ 58 pabilities needed to address these central physics issues.¹¹⁷ 59 First and most importantly, it provides unprecedented¹¹⁸ 60 precision in the measurements and searches needed to 61 pursue these questions. Already in its first stage, the ILC^{120} 62 will have a new level of sensitivity to test the well-defined¹²¹ 63 SM expectations for the Higgs boson properties, and to 64 advance many other tests of SM expectations. The well-¹²³ 65 defined collision energy at the ILC, together with highly¹²⁴ 66 polarised beams, low background levels and absence of 125 67 spectator particles, will enable these precision measure-¹²⁶ 68 ments. A linear collider allows straightforward energy¹²⁷ 69 128 upgrades, which bring new processes into play. The en-70 ergy upgrades will allow the ILC to remain a powerful¹²⁹ 71 discovery vehicle for decades. Finally, and critically, the 72 131 technology is mature, ready for implementation today. 73

For more than twenty years the worldwide community¹³² 74 has been engaged in a research program to develop the¹³³ 75 technology required to realise a high-energy linear col-¹³⁴ 76 lider. As the linear collider technology has progressed,¹³⁵ 77 committees of the International Committee for Future¹³⁶ 78 Accelerators (ICFA) have guided its successive stages. In¹³⁷ 79 the mid-1990's, as various technology options to realise 80 a high-energy linear collider were emerging, the Linear¹³⁹ 81 Collider Technical Review Committee developed a stan-¹⁴⁰ 82 dardised way to compare these technologies in terms of $^{^{141}}$ 83 parameters such as power consumption and luminosity. 84 In 2002, ICFA set up a second review panel which con-85 cluded that both warm and cold technologies had devel-144 86 oped to the point where either could be the basis for a 87 linear collider. In 2004, the International Technology Re-88 view Panel (ITRP) was charged by ICFA to recommend¹⁴⁶ 89 an option and focus the worldwide R&D effort. This147 90 panel chose the superconducting radiofrequency technol-148 91 ogy (SCRF), in a large part due to its energy efficiency¹⁴⁹ 92

and potential for broader applications. The effort to design and establish the technology for the linear collider culminated in the publication of the Technical Design Report (TDR) for the International Linear Collider (ILC) in 2013 [1].

The collider design is thus the result of nearly twenty years of R&D. The heart of the ILC, the superconducting cavities, is based on pioneering work of the TESLA Technology Collaboration. Other aspects of the technology emerged from the R&D carried out for the JLC/GLC and NLC projects, which were based on room-temperature accelerating structures. From 2005 to the publication of the TDR [1] in 2013, the design of the ILC accelerator was conducted under the mandate of ICFA as a worldwide international collaboration, the Global Design Effort (GDE). Since 2013, ICFA has placed the international activities for both the ILC and CLIC projects under a single organization, the Linear Collider Collaboration (LCC),

Once the mass of the Higgs boson was known, it was established that the linear collider could start its ambitious physics program with an initial centre-of-mass energy of 250 GeV, with a reduced cost relative to that in the TDR. In this ILC250 [2], the final focus and beam dumps would be designed to operate at energies up to 1 TeV. Advances in the theoretical understanding of the impact of precision measurements at the ILC250 have justified that this operating point already gives substantial sensitivity to physics beyond the Standard Model [3, 4]. The cost estimate for ILC250 was also carefully evaluated; it is described in Appendix A. It is similar in scale to the LHC project.

In its current form, the ILC250 is a 250 GeV centre-ofmass energy (extendable up to 1 TeV) linear e^+e^- collider, based on 1.3 GHz superconducting radio-frequency (SCRF) cavities. It is designed to achieve a luminosity of $1.35 \cdot 10^{34}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ and provide an integrated luminosity of 400 fb⁻¹ in the first four years of running. The electron beam will be polarised to 80 %, and the baseline plan includes an undulator-based positron source which will deliver 30 % positron polarisation.

The experimental community has developed designs for two complementary detectors, ILD and SiD, as described in [5]. These detectors are designed to optimally address the ILC physics goals. The detector R&D program leading to these designs has contributed a number of advances in detector capabilities with applications well beyond the linear collider program.

This report summarises the current status of this effort, describing the physics reach, the technological maturity of the accelerator, detector, and software/computing designs, plus a short discussion on the further steps needed to realise the project.

II. PHYSICS

The ILC as the ability to begin with a high-precision study of the Higgs boson couplings. At 250 GeV, the ILC also presents many opportunities to discover new particles that go beyond the capabilities of the LHC. Finally, the ILC at 250 GeV opens the door to further exploration of e^+e^- reactions at higher energies. This capability has been clearly demonstrated with detailed simulations of important physics channels including full detector effects. The ILC physics case is reviewed at greater length in the reference document [6].

The Higgs boson is a necessary element of the SM, yet 157 it is to very large extent unknown. In the SM, the Higgs 158 field couples to every elementary particle and provides 159 the mass for all quarks, leptons, and heavy vector bosons. 160 The LHC has discovered the Higgs particle and confirmed 161 the presence of the couplings responsible for the masses 162 of the W, Z, t, b, and τ [7]. However, many mysteries are 163 still buried here. The Higgs couplings are not universal, 164 as the gauge couplings are, and their pattern (which is 165 also the pattern of lepton and quark masses) is not ex-166 plained by the SM. The basic phenomenon that provides 167 mass for elementary particles—the spontaneous breaking 168 of the gauge symmetry $SU(2) \times U(1)$ —is not explained, 169 and actually cannot be explained, by the SM. The Higgs 170 boson could also couple to new particles and fields that 171 have no SM gauge interactions and are otherwise com-172 pletely inaccessible to observation. Thus, detailed exam-173 ination of the Higgs boson properties should be a next 174 major goal for particle physics experiments. 175

Within the SM, the couplings of the Higgs boson are 176 specified now that the parameters of the model, including 177 the Higgs boson mass, are known. Expected knowledge 178 improvements of SM parameters in the 2020's will al-179 low these couplings to be predicted to the part-per-mille 180 level [8]. Models of new physics modify these predictions²⁰⁸ 181 at the 10% level or below, but they can be visible to pre-209 182 cision experiments. Most importantly, different classes²¹⁰ 183 of models affect the various Higgs couplings differently,²¹¹ 184 so that systematic measurement of the Higgs couplings₂₁₂ 185 can reveal clues to the nature of the new interactions.213 186 The precision study of the Higgs boson interactions then₂₁₄ 187 provides a new method both to *discover* the presence of_{215} 188 physics beyond the SM and to *learn* about its nature. 216 189

The couplings of the Higgs boson are now being studied²¹⁷ 190 at the LHC. The LHC experiments have made remark-218 191 able progress in measuring the couplings of the Higgs²¹⁹ 192 boson, and they expect impressive further progress, as²²⁰ 193 documented in the HL-LHC Yellow Report [9]. The un-²²¹ 194 certainty projections from the Yellow Report are shown²²² 195 in Fig. 1. These measurements are very challenging.²²³ 196 Aside from events in which the Higgs boson appears as²²⁴ 197 a narrow resonance (the decays to $\gamma\gamma$ and 4ℓ), Higgs bo-225 198 son events are not visibly distinct from SM background²²⁶ 199 events. Analyses start from signal/background ratios of²²⁷ 200 about 1/10 (better for VBF production, but worse for²²⁸ 201 Vh production with $h \to b\bar{b}$ and then apply strong se-229 202 lections to make the Higgs signal visible. To reach the²³⁰ 203 performance levels predicted in the Yellow Report, it is₂₃₁ 204 necessary to determine the level of suppression of SM₂₃₂ 205 backgrounds to better than 1% accuracy. At the same₂₃₃ 206 time, these projected uncertainties do not allow the LHC₂₃₄ 207



FIG. 1. Projected Higgs boson coupling uncertainties for the LHC and ILC using the model-dependent assumptions appropriate to the LHC Higgs coupling fit. The dark- and light-red bars represent the projections in the scenarios S1 and S2 presented in [9]. The scenario S1 refers to analyses with our current understanding; the scenario S2 refers to more optimistic assumptions in which experimental errors decrease with experience. The dark- and light-green bars represent the projections in the ILC scenarios in similar S1 and S2 scenarios defined in [6]. The dark- and light-blue bars show the projections for scenarios S1 and S2 when data from the 500 GeV run of the ILC is included. The same integrated luminosities are assumed as for Figure 2. The projected uncertainties in the Higgs couplings to $Z\gamma$, $\mu\mu$, tt, and the self-coupling are divided by the indicated factors to fit on the scale of this plot.

experiments to observe, for example, an anomaly of 5% in the hWW coupling to 3σ significance. To prove the presence of such small deviations, which are typical in new physics models, a different approach is required.

What is needed for a precision Higgs boson measurement program is a new experimental method in which all individual Higgs boson decays are manifest and can be studied in detail. This is provided by the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ at 250 GeV in the centre-of-mass. At this CM energy, the lab energy spectrum of Z bosons shows a clear peak at 110 GeV, corresponding to recoil against the Higgs boson, on top of a small and precisely calculable SM background. Events in this peak tag the Higgs boson independently of the mode of Higgs boson decay. These events then give a complete picture of Higgs boson decays, including all SM leptonic and hadronic final states and also invisible or partially visible exotic modes.

Further, since the cross section for Higgs production can be measured independently of any property of the Higgs boson, the scale of Higgs couplings can be determined and the individual couplings can be absolutely normalised. Each individual coupling can be compared to its SM prediction.

In the description of new physics by an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariant effective field theory (EFT), there exist both a remarkable complementarity and a synergy between measurements in Higgs physics, in precision electroweak



FIG. 2. Projected Higgs boson coupling uncertainties for the²⁷⁷ ILC program at 250 GeV and an energy upgrade to 500 GeV.278 using the highly model-independent analysis presented in [3].279 This analysis makes use of data on $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ in ad-₂₈₀ dition to Higgs boson observables and also incorporates pro-281 jected LHC results, as described in the text. Results are obtained assuming integrated luminosities of 2 ab^{-1} at 250 GeV and 4 ab^{-1} at 500 GeV. All estimates of uncertainties are derived from full detector simulation. Note that the $\operatorname{projected}^{284}$ uncertainties in the Higgs couplings to $Z\gamma$, $\mu\mu$, $t\bar{t}$, and the²⁸⁵ self-coupling are divided by the indicated factors to fit on the286 scale of this plot. The scenario S1* refers to analyses with²⁸⁷ our current understanding; the scenario S2^{*} refers to more₂₈₈ optimistic assumptions in which experimental errors decrease₂₈₉ with experience. A full explanation of the analysis and as_{290} sumptions underlying these estimates is given in [6]. 291

observables and in diboson production. This calls for a²⁹³ 235 global approach in interpreting data from the three dif-294 236 ferent sectors. The high precision in the measurement²⁹⁵ 237 of $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ at an e^+e^- collider then works to²⁹⁶ 238 improve the Higgs-coupling determination. Beam polar-297 239 isation at the ILC is also a powerful tool to separate²⁹⁸ 240 the contributions of different EFT coefficients. In ad-299 241 dition, a number of readily interpreted Higgs boson ob-300 242 servables that will be measured at the HL-LHC can be₃₀₁ 243 used, especially the ratio of branching ratios $BR(h \rightarrow_{302}$ 244 $\gamma\gamma)/BR(h \rightarrow ZZ^*)$. In [3], it is shown that, by the₃₀₃ 245 use of this information, it is possible to fit all relevant₃₀₄ 246 EFT coefficients *simultaneously*, giving a determination₃₀₅ 247 of Higgs boson couplings that is as model-independent as₃₀₆ 248 the underlying EFT description itself. 307 249

The uncertainties in cross section and $\sigma \cdot BR$ mea-308 250 surements that contribute to the EFT determination of³⁰⁹ 251 the Higgs boson couplings were estimated using full-310 252 simulation analyses. These analyses incorporate the de-311 253 tailed detector designs described in Section IV and the³¹² 254 performance levels justified by R&D as reviewed in Sec-313 255 tion V. This gives our estimates S1^{*}. The inputs are₃₁₄ 256 described in more detail in [6]. For the nominal ILC₃₁₅ 257 program at 250 GeV, the Higgs coupling to b quarks is₃₁₆ 258 expected to be measured to 1.1% accuracy and the cou-317 259 plings to W and Z to 0.7% accuracy. The full set of₃₁₈ 260

expected uncertainties is shown in Fig. 2.

In a manner similar to the estimates in [9], a more optimistic scenario S2* is defined, assuming that detector performance can be improved with experience. The precise scheme is described in [6]. The S2* estimates are also shown in Fig. 2. The blue bars in the figure show the improvement in the errors when running at 500 GeV is also included. The discovery of any anomaly at 250 GeV can be confirmed using additional reactions such as WWfusion production of the Higgs boson. Measurements at this level can discover—and distinguish—models of new physics over a wide space of possibilities, even for models in which the predicted new particles are too heavy to be discovered at the LHC [3].

Figure 1 compares the ILC projections to those given in the HL-LHC Yellow Report [9] in their scenarios S1 and S2. The LHC projections include model-dependent assumptions. To assist the comparison, these assumptions are imposed also in the ILC analyses. The uncertainties in the extracted Higgs couplings under these assumptions [6] are shown as the S1 and S2 values in the figure. The blue bars again show the effect of adding a data set at 500 GeV, as described in [6].

In addition to its decays predicted in the SM, the Higgs boson could have additional decays to particles with no SM gauge interactions. These decays may include invisible decays (*e.g.*, to a pair of dark matter particles χ) or partially invisible decays (*e.g.*, to $b\bar{b}\chi\chi$). The ILC can robustly search for all types of exotic decays to the partper-mille level of branching fractions [10].

The ILC can also search for particles produced through electroweak interactions, closing gaps that are left by searches at the LHC. An important example is the Higgsino of supersymmetric models. If the mass differences among Higgsinos are smaller than a few GeV—as predicted in currently allowed models—then Higgsinos of 100 GeV mass would be produced copiously at the LHC, but this production would not be registered by LHC triggers. In the clean environment of the ILC, even such difficult signatures as this would be discovered and the new particles studied with percent-level precision [11].

ILC precision measurements of $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ processes at 250 GeV have a sensitivity to new electroweak gauge bosons comparable to (and complementary with) direct searches at the LHC. Polarisation plays a key role since it allows the electroweak couplings to be disentangled, with particular sensitivity to right-handed couplings. The reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ is of special interest since it either receives corrections from BSM physics that act on electroweak couplings of all fermions or from BSM physics that acts primarily on the Higgs and the heavy quark doublet (t, b), as happens in many composite models of the Higgs boson [12, 13].

The ILC at 250 GeV can be the first step to the study of e^+e^- reactions at higher energy. A linear e^+e^- collider is extendable in energy by making the accelerator longer or by increasing the acceleration gradient. Extensions to 500 GeV and 1 TeV were envisioned in the ILC Tech-

Quantity	Symbol	Unit	Initial	Upgı	rades
Centre-of-mass energy	\sqrt{s}	GeV	250	500	1000
Luminosity	\mathcal{L} (10 ³⁴ c	$m^{-2}s^{-1}$)	1.35	1.8	4.9
Repetition frequency	$f_{\rm rep}$	Hz	5	5	4
Bunches per pulse	$n_{\rm bunch}$	1	1312	1312	2450
Bunch population	$N_{\rm e}$	10^{10}	2	2	1.74^{361}
Linac bunch interval	$\Delta t_{\rm b}$	ns	554	554	366
Beam current in pulse	$I_{\rm pulse}$	mA	5.8	5.8	$7^{\circ}.6$
Beam pulse duration	$t_{\rm pulse}$	$\mu { m s}$	727	727	897
Average beam power	P_{ave}	MW	5.3	10.5	$27_{65}2$
Norm. hor. emitt. at IP	$\gamma \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}$	$\mu \mathrm{m}$	5	10	<u></u> μΩ
Norm. vert. emitt. at IP	$\gamma \epsilon_{ m y}$	nm	35	35	35
RMS hor. beam size at IP	σ^*_{x}	nm	516	474	335
RMS vert. beam size at IP	$\sigma_{ m v}^*$	nm	7.7	5.9	2.7
Site AC power	$P_{\rm site}$	MW	129	163	300
Site length	L_{site}	$\rm km$	20.5	31	$\frac{370}{40}$
					371

TABLE I. Summary table of the ILC accelerator parameters³⁷² in the initial 250 GeV staged configuration and possible up-373 grades. 374

nical Design Report [1]. The aims of this higher-energy $_{\rm _{376}}$ 319 program are discussed in detail in [6]. They include the $_{377}$ 320 measurement of the top-quark mass with a precision of_{220} 321 40 MeV, measurements of the top-quark electroweak cou-322 plings to the per-mille level, measurement of the $\operatorname{Higgs}_{380}$ 323 coupling to the top quark to 2% accuracy, and measure-324 ment of the triple-Higgs boson coupling to 10% accuracy. 325 Higher energy stages of the ILC would also allow $\mathrm{much}_{\scriptscriptstyle 383}$ 326 more sensitive searches for new particles with electroweak $_{384}$ 327 interactions. Eventually, the ILC tunnel could be the $_{_{385}}$ 328 host for very high gradient electron accelerators reaching $_{\scriptscriptstyle 386}$ 329 energies higher than 1 TeV. The ILC promises a long and₃₂₇ 330 bright future beyond its initial 250 GeV stage. 331 388

III. COLLIDER

332

The fundamental goal of the design of the ILC is to ful-³⁹¹ 333 fill the physics objectives outlined in this document with³⁹² 334 high energy-efficiency. In the design, the overall power³⁹³ 335 consumption of the accelerator complex during opera-394 336 tion is limited to $129\,\mathrm{MW}$ at $250\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and $300\,\mathrm{MW}$ at 395 337 1 TeV, which is comparable to the power consumption³⁹⁶ 338 of CERN today. This is achieved by the use of SCRF³⁹⁷ 339 technology for the main accelerator, which offers a high³⁹⁸ 340 RF-to-beam efficiency through the use of superconduct-399 341 ing cavities. The cavities are operated at 1.3 GHz, where 400 342 high-efficiency klystrons are commercially available. At₄₀₁ 343 accelerating gradients of 31.5 to $35 \,\mathrm{MV/m}$, this technol-402 344 ogy offers high overall efficiency and reasonable invest-403 345 ment costs, even considering the cryogenic infrastructure₄₀₄ 346 needed for the operation at 2°K. Some relevant parame-405 347 ters are given in Tab. I. 406 348

The underlying TESLA technology is mature, with a₄₀₇ 349 broad industrial base throughout the world, and is in₄₀₈ 350 use at a number of free-electron-laser facilities that are_{409} 351 in operation (European XFEL at DESY), under con-410 352 struction (LCLS-II at SLAC), or in preparation (SHINE₄₁₁ 353 in Shanghai) in the three regions that have contributed₄₁₂ 354 to the ILC design. In preparation for the ILC, Japan⁴¹³ 355 and the U.S. have founded a collaboration for further₄₁₄ 356

_ cost optimisation of the TESLA technology. In recent years, new surface treatments during the cavity preparation process, such as the so-called nitrogen infusion, have been developed at Fermilab and elsewhere. These offer the prospect of achieving higher gradients and lower loss rates than assumed in the TDR, using a less expensive surface-preparation scheme. This would lead to a further cost reduction over the current estimate.

The design goal of energy efficiency fits well into the "Green ILC" concept [14] that pursues a comprehensive approach to a sustainable laboratory. Current European Research and Innovation programmes include efficiency studies for the ILC and other accelerators. A model is the recently inaugurated European Spallation Source ESS in Sweden, which followed the 4R strategy: Responsible, Renewable, Recyclable and Reliable.

When the Higgs boson was discovered in 2012 and the Japan Association of High Energy Physicists (JAHEP) made a proposal to host the ILC in Japan, the Japanese ILC Strategy Council conducted a survey of possible sites for the ILC in Japan, looking for suitable geological conditions for a tunnel up to 50 km in length, and the possibility to establish a laboratory where several thousand international scientists could work and live. The candidate site in the Kitakami region in northern Japan, close to the larger cities of Sendai and Morioka, was found to be the best option. The site offers a large, uniform granite formation, with no active seismic faults, that is well suited for tunnelling. Even in the great Tohoku earthquake of 2011, underground installations in this rock formation were essentially unaffected. This underlines the suitability of this candidate site.

Figure 3 shows a schematic overview of the initial-stage accelerator with its main subsystems. The accelerator extends over 20.5 km, with two main arms that are dominated by the electron and positron main linacs, at a 14 mrad crossing angle.

Electrons are produced by a polarised electron gun located in the tunnel of the positron beam-delivery system. A Ti:sapphire laser impinges on a photocathode with a strained GaAs/GaAsP superlattice structure, which will provide 90 % electron polarisation at the source, resulting in 80% polarisation at the interaction point. The design is based on the electron source of the SLAC accelerator.

Two concepts for positron production are considered. The baseline solution employs superconducting helical undulators at the end of the electron main linac, producing polarised photons that are converted to positrons in a rotating target, with a 30% longitudinal polarisation. This positron-production scheme requires an operational electron linac delivering a beam close to its nominal energy of 125 GeV, which is a complication for commissioning and operation. An alternative design, the electron-driven source, utilises a dedicated S-band electron accelerator to provide a 3 GeV beam that is used to produce positrons by pair production. This source would not provide positron polarisation, but would have advantages for operation at lower electron beam energies

375

389



FIG. 3. Schematic layout of the ILC in the 250 GeV staged configuration.

and during commissioning. Both concepts are likely to₄₅₂
prove viable when the requisite engineering effort can be₄₅₃
devoted to their design. The current accelerator design₄₅₄
is compatible with either option. A decision between₄₅₅
the alternatives will be made before commencement of₄₅₆
the detailed engineering design, based on their relative₄₅₇
physics potential, costs, and technical maturity.

Electrons and positrons are injected at 5 GeV into⁴⁵⁹ 422 the centrally placed 3.2 km-long damping-ring complex,460 423 where their normalised emittance is reduced to $20 \, \mathrm{nm}_{461}$ 424 $(4 \,\mu \text{m})$ in the vertical (horizontal) plane within 100 msec.₄₆₂ 425 These emittance numbers are well in line with the perfor-463 426 mance of today's storage rings for advanced light sources.464 427 To achieve the necessary damping time constant, the₄₆₅ 428 damping ring is equipped with 54 superconducting wig-466 429 glers. 430

⁴³¹ The damped beams are transported to the beginning⁴⁶⁸ ⁴³² of the main accelerator by two low-emittance beam-⁴⁶⁹ ⁴³³ transport lines. Two bunch-compressor stages at 5 and⁴⁷⁰ ⁴³⁴ 15 GeV reduce the longitudinal bunch length to 300 μ m⁴⁷¹ ⁴³⁵ before the beams are accelerated to 125 GeV in the two⁴⁷² ⁴³⁶ main linacs. ⁴⁷³

The main linacs accelerate the beams in superconduct-474 437 ing cavities made of niobium, operating at 1.3 GHz fre-475 438 quency and a temperature of 2.0 K. Each cavity has 9476 439 cells and is 1.25 m long. The mean accelerating gradi-477 440 ent will be 31.5 to $35 \,\mathrm{MV/m}$. Cavities are mounted in⁴⁷⁸ 441 12 m-long cryomodules that house 9 cavities or 8 cavities⁴⁷⁹ 442 plus a quadrupole unit for beam focusing. The cryomod-480 443 ules provide cooling and thermal shielding and contain⁴⁸¹ 444 all necessary pipes for fluid and gaseous helium at vari-482 445 ous temperatures. No separate helium transport line is483 446 necessary. Cryomodules of this type have been in con-484 447 tinuous operation since 2000 in the TESLA Test Facility₄₈₅ 448 (TTF, now FLASH), and, since 2017, 97 of these cry-486 449 omodules have been in operation at the European XFEL.487 450 This proves their long-term stability. Cost and perfor-488 451

mance estimates for the ILC cryomodules are based on the experience from these facilities, and thus can be regarded with high confidence.

The radiofrequency (RF) power for the cavities is generated by commercially available 10 MW klystrons with an efficiency of 65%. The pulse modulators will use a new, modular and cost-effective semiconductor design developed at SLAC, the MARX modulator.

The cryogenic system design is planned with six cryo plants for the main linacs, each with a size similar to those operating at CERN (8 plants for the LHC), DESY (for HERA/ XFEL) and Fermilab (for the Tevatron). Two smaller plants would supply the central region, including the preaccelerators of the sources and the damping rings.

Finally, the beam-delivery system focuses the beams to the required size of $516 \,\mu\text{m} \times 7.7 \,\text{nm}$. A feedback system, which profits from the relatively long inter-bunch separation of 554 ns, ensures the necessary beam stability. The necessary nano-beam technology and feedback control has been tested at the Accelerator Test Facility 2 (ATF-2) at KEK, where beam sizes within 10 % of the goal for ILC have been demonstrated.[15]

The TDR baseline design assumed a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, upgradeable to a final energy of 1 TeV. After the discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012, interest grew for an accelerator operating as a "Higgs factory" at $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$, slightly above the maximum for Zh production. The design for a 250 GeV version of the ILC has recently been presented in a staging report by the LCC directorate [2] and was endorsed by ICFA.

This staged version of the ILC would have two main linac tunnels about half the length of the 500 GeV TDR design (6, instead of 11 km). Other systems, in particular the beam-delivery system and the main dumps, would retain the dimensions of the TDR design. Then the ILC250 could be upgraded to energies of 500 GeV

or even 1 TeV with a reasonable effort, without exten-489 sive modifications to the central region. Recent studies 490 of rock vibrations from tunnel excavation in a similar ge-491 ology indicate that the necessary additional main linac 492 tunnels could be largely constructed during ILC opera-493 tion, so that an energy upgrade could be realised with an 494 interruption in data taking of only about 2 years, com-495 patible with a smooth continuation of the physics pro-496 gramme. 497

Another upgrade option, which could come before or 498 after an energy upgrade, is a luminosity upgrade. Dou-499 bling the luminosity by doubling the number of bunches 500 per pulse to 2625 at a reduced bunch separation of 366 ns 501 would require 50% more klystrons and modulators and 502 an increased cryogenic capacity. The damping rings 503 would also permit an increase of the pulse repetition rate 504 from 5 to 10 Hz. This would require a significant increase 505 in cryogenic capacity, or running at a reduced gradient 506 after an energy upgrade. The projections for the physics 5^{545} 507 potential of the ILC250 are based on a total integrated 508 luminosity of $2 ab^{-1}$, which assumes at least one lumi-₅₄₆ 509 nosity upgrade. 510 547

511

IV. DETECTORS

550 The detector concepts proposed for the ILC have been⁵ 512 developed over the past 15 years in a strong international⁵⁵¹ 513 effort. They reflect the requirements placed on the detec-514 tors from the science, and have folded in the constraints 515 from the design of the machine, in particular the special 516 properties of the interaction region. They incorporate 517 the results of the R&D effort described in the following 557518 Section. 519 558

520 The main guiding principles are:

• The detector must have excellent track momentum₅₆₀ 521 resolution, of about $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{GeV}^{-1}$. The bench-561 522 mark here is the analysis of the di-lepton mass in_{562} 523 the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow hZ \rightarrow h\ell^+\ell^-$. This reaction₅₆₃ 524 allows the reconstruction of the Higgs mass, inde-564 525 pendently of its decay mode, via the reconstruction₅₆₅ 526 of the lepton recoil momentum. The Higgs boson₅₆₆ 527 mass is important in itself, but it is also a cru-528 cial input in the precise SM prediction of the Higgs⁵⁶⁷ 529 boson properties. Stringent momentum resolution⁵⁶⁸ 530 requirements have to be met to meet the mass res-569 531 570 olution goal. 532 571

• Many physics measurements depend on the flavor⁵⁷² 533 identification of heavy quarks and leptons. For this,573 534 very powerful vertex detectors are needed. Both for574 535 the known Higgs boson and, typically, for extended 575 536 Higgs particles, the most prominent decays are to₅₇₆ 537 third-generation species. Many other physics pro-577 538 cesses also lead to complex final states containing₅₇₈ 539 bottom or charm quarks. A superb vertex detec-579 540 tor is needed to reconstruct these long-lived par-580 541 ticles with specificity and high efficiency. For ex-581 542 ample, the position of the reconstructed secondary₅₈₂ 543



FIG. 4. The ILD detector concept.

vertex should be found with a precision of better than $4\,\mu{\rm m}.$

- The momenta of the full set of final-state particles are best reconstructed with the Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA). This technique combines the information from the tracking systems and from the calorimetric systems to reconstruct the energy and the direction of all charged and neutral particles in the event. To minimise overlaps between neighboring particles, and to maximize the probability to correctly combine tracking and calorimeter information, excellent calorimeters with very high granularity are needed. The agreed-upon goal is a jet energy resolution of 3% an improvement of about a factor of two from the equivalent number for the LHC detectors.
- Many physics signatures predict some undetectable particles which escape from the detector. These can only be reconstructed by measuring the missing energy and 3-momentum in the event. This requires that the detector is as hermetic as possible. Particular care must be given to the region at small angles surrounding the beampipe.

Compared to the last large-scale detector project in particle physics, the construction and upgrade of the LHC detectors, the emphasis for linear collider detectors is shifted towards ultimate precision. This requires detector technologies with new levels of performance. It also requires the minimisation of dead material in the detector at an unprecedented level, with strict management and control of services and, in particular, thermal management of the detector concept. As a benchmark, the total material in front of the electromagnetic calorimeter should not exceed a few percent of a radiation length. Significant technological R&D was needed to demonstrate the feasibility of this goal.

Over the last decade, two detector concepts have emerged from the discussions and studies in the community. Both are based on the assumption that the

6

548

549



FIG. 5. The SiD detector concept.

particle-flow technique will play a central role in the $^{^{641}}$ 583 event reconstruction. Both, therefore, have highly gran-584 ular calorimeters, placed inside the solenoid coil, and⁶⁴³ 585 excellent trackers and vertexing systems. The two ap-586 proaches differ in the choice of tracker technology, and in 587 the approaches taken to maximise the overall precision 646 588 of the event reconstruction. ILD (Fig. 4) has chosen a $_{647}$ 589 gaseous central tracker, a time projection chamber, com-590 bined with silicon detectors inside and outside the TPC.648 591 SiD (Fig. 5) relies on an all-silicon solution, similar to⁶⁴⁹ 592 the LHC detectors, although with much thinner silicon⁶⁵⁰ 593 layers. ILD tries to optimise the particle-flow resolution651 594 by making the detector large, thus separating charged⁶⁵² 595 and neutral particles. SiD keeps the detector more com-653 596 pact, and compensates by using a higher central magnetic⁶⁵⁴ 597 field. Both approaches have demonstrated excellent per-655 598 formance through prototyping and simulation, meeting 656 599 or even exceeding the requirements. 600 657

The ILC infrastructure has been designed to allow forest two detectors, operated in a so-called push-pull mode. The detectors are mounted on movable platforms, which can be moved relatively quickly in and out of the beam. The goal is to exchange the detectors in the IP and be ready to take data within one day.

This baseline design with two detectors and a push-pull⁶⁶⁴ 607 arrangement has distinct scientific advantages over a po-665 608 tential alternative of only one detector. It is also much₆₆₆ 609 less expensive than the previously considered alternative₆₆₇ 610 of having two separate interaction points with dedicated 668 611 detectors. The scientific advantages arise from the com-669 612 plementarity of the detectors, the competition between670 613 detector teams, the opportunity for independent cross-671 614 checks of new results, and the likely larger community of 672 615 participants in the scientific program. 673 616

For both detector concepts, communities have self-674 organised and pre-collaborations have formed. Over the675 last ten years, these organisations have pushed both con-676 cepts to a remarkable level of maturity. In close interac-677 tion with the different groups performing detector R&D678 from around the world, they have demonstrated the fea-679 sibility of building and operating such high-precision de-680

tectors.

640

European groups have played a central role in these efforts. The ILD concept group is formed from some 70 groups from around the world, with more than half coming from Europe. The SiD collaboration has a strong basis in the Americas, but also relies on significant participation from European groups. Major contributions to the development of all sub-systems have come from Europe. Significant technological breakthroughs, for example in the area of highly granular calorimeters, are strongly driven by European groups.

An important aspect of the detector concept work has been the integration of the detector into the collider and into the proposed site. The location of the experiment in an earthquake-prone area poses challenges which have been addressed through R&D on detector stability, support and service. The scheme to operate two detectors in one interaction region required significant engineering work to demonstrate its feasibility. With strong support from particle physics laboratories in Europe, in particular DESY and CERN, many of the most relevant questions were answered and the feasibility of the approach demonstrated, at least in principle.

V. DETECTOR R&D

The demands from physics for high precision challenge the ILC detectors. Optimal trade-offs between granularity, material, speed and power, and ultimately resolution are needed to achieve the order of magnitude improvement in state-of-the-art required. Intensive R&D was needed to realise this performance, reliably and at minimal cost, on the subsystem level, and then within the complete, integrated detector system.[16]

Application of the Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA) for reconstruction of final-state particles, using merged tracking and calorimetric information requires study of integrated systems. Then the performance can be transferred to realistic Monte Carlo models of experiments to predict the ILC physics performance in Section II. A wide variety of calorimeter and tracking subsystems have been prototyped, including full-scale detectors operated on beam, in some cases inside a 2 T magnetic field This has included subsystem combinations to measure PFA performance relative to system cost.

Tracking and vertexing detector development was driven by pixellated, low-material budget components with excellent momentum resolution and displaced vertex characterisation, including vertex charge, performances typically exceeding existing experiments by an order of magnitude.

Two main tracker alternatives were investigated: a TPC and silicon sensors, possibly pixelated. TPC R&D addressed mainly the single-point resolution and ion-feedback mitigation with different micro-pattern read-out systems (MicroMegas, GEM, ...), showing performance goals are reached, with an end-cap material budget of less than $30\% X_0$. Silicon sensor R&D dealt with material budget; targeted momentum resolution is achieved with

a limited number of layers. ATLAS and CMS tracker
upgrade R&D contributed, although ILC silicon tracking
layers are much thinner with somewhat different solutions. A large-area pixelated tracker may improve performance over silicon-strips in dense jet environments.

Vertex detector R&D explored several thin, highly-686 granular pixel technologies (CMOS, DEPFET, FPCCD, 687 SoI, ...) that offer the projected spatial resolution and 688 material budget. Intensive efforts focussed on read-out 689 systems that handle the beam-related background hit 690 density. Performances depends on material technology 691 and read-out architecture. Double-sided layers were also 692 investigated establishing feasibility near an e^+e^- inter-693 action point. 694

PFA requirements lead to very compact, highly-695 granular calorimetric technologies, including low-power 696 read-out micro-circuits with power pulsing. The CAL-697 ICE Collaboration studied the major issues for both elec-698 tromagnetic (ECAL) and hadron calorimeters (HCAL). 699 ECAL R&D concentrated on optimised and cost-effective 700 sensor systems, designs of low-power, pulsed, inte-701 grated readout electronics and effective thermal man-702 agement and calibration strategy, and a mechanical con-703 cept combining high stability with minimal dead zones.738 704 A SiW-based full-size prototype was constructed and₇₃₉ 705 tested extensively on particle beams. A cost-effective₇₄₀ 706 scintillator/photo-sensor solution was also tested. 707 741

HCAL prototyping emphasized efficient and precise₇₄₂
neutral hadron shower reconstruction. Two options de-₇₄₃
veloped with stainless steel conversion material included₇₄₄
scintillator tiles with silicon photo-sensors read out with₇₄₅
analog electronics, and more highly-segmented RPCs₇₄₆
with one or two bit signal encoding.

Test-beam campaigns combining various ECAL and₇₄₈
HCAL options demonstrate the relative merits, includ-₇₆₉
ing PFA processing. The energy and topology resolu-₇₅₁
tion requirements have been demonstrated, including in₇₅₂
power-pulsing operation.

Very forward calorimeter technologies with robust elec-⁷⁵⁴ tron and photon detection for luminosity and operations ⁷⁵⁵ measurements have show satisfactory performance with ⁷²⁶ 1 MGy tolerance. Tungsten absorbers coupled with al-⁷²⁷ ternating GaAs sensor planes included fast feedback for ⁷²⁸ beam tuning.

VI. SOFTWARE AND COMPUTING

725

It will be possible to meet the physics goals of the ILC^{762} 726 programme only if the excellent detector resolution of⁷⁶³ 727 the two proposed ILC detector concepts described above⁷⁶⁴ 728 is complemented with powerful and sophisticated algo-765 729 rithms for event reconstruction and data analysis. For₇₆₆ 730 over a decade, the ILC community has developed and₇₆₇ 731 improved its software ecosystem iLCSoft [17], which is₇₆₈ 732 based on the event data model LCIO [18], and the generic₇₆₉ 733 detector description toolkit DD4hep [19]. The iLCSoft770 734 tools are used by both ILC detector concepts and also by₇₇₁ 735 CLIC. From the start, a strong emphasis has been placed₇₇₂ 736 on developing flexible and generic tools that can easily be773 737



FIG. 6. Fully simulated and reconstructed $t\bar{t}$ -event in the ILD detector, showing the individually reconstructed neutral and charged particles. Note that the colour code is based entirely on the particle flow algorithm and does note use any Monte Carlo truth information.

applied to other experiments or new detector concepts. This approach of developing common tools wherever possible has helped considerably in leveraging the limited manpower and putting the focus on algorithm development that is crucial for the physics performance.

A development of particular importance is the refinement of the PFA technique that aims to identify and reconstruct every individual particle created in the event in order to choose the best possible subdetector measurement for every particle. An example of individual particles reconstructed using PFA in a $t\bar{t}$ -event is shown in Fig. 6.

Both detector concept groups have invested considerable effort into making their full-simulation models as realistic as possible. Starting from a precise description of the actual detector technology, dead material, gaps and imperfections have been added. Care has been taken to include realistic services such as cables and cooling pipes, in particular in the tracking region where the material budget has a direct impact on the detector performance. These simulation models have been used for large-scale Monte Carlo production and physics analyses for the TDR and more recent detector optimisation campaigns. Based on these studies, a realistic understanding of the expected detector performance and the physics reach of the ILC for both detector concepts has been achieved.

The development of iLCSoft has been a truly international activity, in which European groups, in particular DESY and CERN, have played a leading role. They should continue to do so if the ILC is approved. The next stage will strongly focus on adapting the software tools for modern hardware architectures and continue to improve the computing and physics performance of the algorithms.

760

An initial computing concept for the ILC, including a₈₃₁ 774 first estimate of the required resources, has been devel-832 775 oped by the LCC Software and Computing Group. This₈₃₃ 776 concepts follows in general terms that of the LHC ex-834 777 periments and Belle II, with a strong on-site computing₈₃₅ 778 center complemented by large Grid-based computing re-836 779 sources distributed around the world. Due to the much₈₃₇ 780 lower event rates at the ILC compared to the LHC, the₈₃₈</sub> 781 detectors will run in an un-triggered mode in which col-839 782 lision data from every bunch crossing will be recorded.840 783 At the experimental site, only limited computing re-841 784 sources are required for online monitoring, QA, and data-842 785 buffering for a few days. Prompt reconstruction, event₈₄₃ 786 building, and filtering of the interesting collisions will be_{844} 787 performed at the main ILC campus. A few percent of_{845} 788 the initial data will be distributed to major participating₈₄₆ 789 Grid sites in the world for further skimming and final₈₄₇ 790 redistribution for physics analysis. A copy of the raw₈₄₈ 791 data from all bunch crossings will be kept to allow for_{sag} 792 future searches for new exotic signatures. Based on de-850 793 tailed physics and background simulations, the total $\mathrm{raw}_{_{851}}$ 794 data rate estimate of the ILC is ~ 1.5 GB/s. The total_{ss2} 795 estimated storage needs will be a few tens of PB/y. The $_{\scriptscriptstyle 853}$ 796 computing power needed for simulation, reconstruction, $_{854}$ 797 and analysis will be a few hundred kHepSpec06. Given $_{\scriptscriptstyle \!\! 855}$ 798 that these numbers are already smaller than what is $\mathrm{now}_{_{856}}$ 799 needed by the LHC experiments, and given an $expected_{857}$ 800 annual increase of 15% and 20%, respectively, for storage $_{\scriptscriptstyle 858}$ 801 and CPU at flat budget, the overall computing costs $\mathrm{for}_{_{\mathtt{BSG}}}$ 802 the ILC will be more than an order of magnitude smaller₈₆₀ 803 than those for the LHC. 804 861

805

VII. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

862 863

The ILC has a mature technical design that is ready for⁸⁶⁴ 806 construction. The ILC will start as a Higgs boson factory⁸⁶⁵ 807 (ILC250). Here the clean operating environment, low⁸⁶⁶ 808 backgrounds, and adjustable beam energies and polar-867 809 isations will allow model-independent measurements of⁸⁶⁸ 810 the Higgs boson's mass and CP properties and of its ab-⁸⁶⁹ 811 solute couplings to SM fermions and gauge bosons, most⁸⁷⁰ 812 of them to better than 1% precision. These measure-871 813 ments will discriminate between the SM and many dif-872 814 ferent BSM models. The ILC will be sensitive to invisible⁸⁷³ 815 and other exotic Higgs decays, accessing additional new874 816 physics models including models of Dark Matter. The⁸⁷⁵ 817 ILC polarized beams offer additional precision tests of 876 818 the SM, in particular for the electroweak couplings of 877 819 right-handed fermions, which are largely unconstrained⁸⁷⁸ 820 today. 879 821

The ILC can be extended to higher energies in possi-880 822 ble future upgrades, up to 500 GeV and 1 TeV. In these₈₈₁ 823 later stages, the ILC will give access to the properties of 882 824 the top quark, including the top-quark Yukawa coupling,883 825 and to the Higgs self-coupling. Above the top-quark pro-884 826 duction threshold, the ILC will be a precision top-quark⁸⁸⁵ 827 factory. Throughout its energy evolution, the ILC will besse 828 able to produce new BSM particles of mass up to half its⁸⁸⁷ 829 centre-of-mass energy and to provide sensitivity to news88 830

force particles Z' with masses ranging up to 7-12 TeV.

Since no new particles beyond the SM have been discovered at the LHC, the search for new physics through high-precision studies of the Higgs boson and the top quark have become urgent and compelling. These studies strike at the heart of the mysteries of the SM in a way that is orthogonal to direct new particles searches. As discussed in Section II, the ILC capabilities for precision tests will be qualitatively superior to those of the highluminosity LHC. This makes the ILC a powerful complement to future LHC particle searches, with strength to discover the new interactions that underlie the SM.

The goal of a precise understanding of the Higgs boson is an attractive one in its own right, and one readily communicated to our scientific colleagues in other disciplines and to the general public. Together with this goal, the ILC provides a fully formed project proposal with a reasonable cost estimate similar to that of the LHC, a moderate time scale, and well tested technologies for its detector and accelerator designs.

Future circular e^+e^- colliders have been proposed as an alternative method for precision Higgs boson studies. These have the potential to deliver higher luminosity at energies up to about 300 GeV. However, the ILC, operated as a Higgs factory, can take advantage of beam polarization to achieve similar physics performance [3]. More importantly, the possibility to easily upgrade the ILC to higher energies makes the Higgs factory stage of the ILC only the first phase of its potential for exploration.

As ephasized in the previous few sections, the ILC proposal is supported by extensive R&D and prototyping, both for the accelerator and for the detectors. For the accelerator, the successful construction and operation of the European XFEL at DESY gives us confidence both in the high reliability of the basic technology and in the reliability of its performance and cost in industrial realization. For the detector, an extensive course of prototyping underlies our estimates of full-detector performance and cost. Some specific optimizations and technological choices remain. But the ILC is now ready to move forward to construction.

The ILC TDR cost has been rescaled for ILC250 [2] and has recently been further re-evaluated incorporating items specific to Japanese construction and accounting. The current quoted cost estimate of the ILC250 is shown in Appendix A. This cost has been scrutinised in a number of studies, most recently by a working group of the Japanese science agency MEXT, as described below. Here too, the ILC is ready to move forward.

A strong community of universities and laboratories world-wide is ready to realise the ILC, to develop its detectors, and to exploit its physics opportunities. The ILC Technical Design Report was signed by 2400 scientists from 48 countries and 392 institutes and university groups, as described in Appendix B. This community continues to prepare for the scientific program and will expand its efforts once the ILC is launched as a project.

The ILC R&D program and the construction of the₉₃₀ 889 FELs based on SCRF in Europe and the US has opened₉₃₁ 890 strong links between the ILC community and industry.932 891 Very productive networking and communication has been933 892 established between industry representatives and scien-934 893 tists. Since 2016, all linear collider conferences have in-935 894 cluded one-day mini-workshops to show and promote in-936 895 dustrial opportunities. These industrial mini-workshops937 896 have been well attended with growing interest and par-938 897 ticipation from individual companies and from the indus-939 898 trial associations of several key countries. 940 899

On the political side, broad interest for the ILC in₉₄₁ 900 Japan has been steadily growing over many years. The942 901 plan for hosting the ILC in Japan is being promoted by₉₄₃ 902 political entities, at the Japanese Diet and at the provin-944 903 cial levels, by a large industrial consortium (AAA), and⁹⁴⁵ 904 by representatives of the particles physics community⁹⁴⁶ 905 (JAHEP). Since 2013, the ILC project has been exam-947 906 ined extensively by the MEXT ministry within a cautious948 907 official procedure, in which minimising risks is of prime₉₄₉ 908 importance. MEXT's ILC Advisory Panel released its950 909 report [20] on July 4, 2018. This report summarises the951 910 studies of the several working groups (WG) that reviewed₉₅₂ 911 a broad range of aspects of the ILC. The most recent₉₅₃ 912 studies include a specific review of the scientific merit₉₅₄ 913 and the technical design for the ILC250. The Physics⁹⁵⁵ 914 WG scrutinised the scientific merit of the ILC250, lead-956 915 ing to their strong and positive statement on the impor-957 916 tance of the ILC250 to measure precisely the couplings of 958 917 the Higgs boson [20]. The TDR WG reviewed issues ad-959 918 dressed in the Technical Design Report and the ILC250₉₆₀ 919 design, including the cost estimate and technical feasi-961 920 bility. Other working groups of the MEXT review com-962 921 922 mented on manpower needs, organisational aspects, and 963 the experience of previous large projects. The report of 964 923 the ILC Advisory Panel was followed by the beginning of 965 924 deliberations in a committee and technical working group⁹⁶⁶ 925 established by the Science Council of Japan (SCJ). An-967 926 other independent committee (ILC Liaison Council), led968 927 by leaders of the Liberal Democratic Party, the majority₉₆₉ 928 party in the Diet, has now convened to encourage the970 929

national government to proceed with the ILC.

It is an important aspect of the discussions of ILC in Japan that the ILC is seen as global project that will foster exchange between Japan and other nations. Thus, the scientific interest and political engagement of partner countries is a major concern for the Japanese authorities. For example, Japan has now begun efforts to secure US partnership in the ILC. The US Department of Energy Under Secretary for Science recently visited Japan; he attended meetings with political leaders promoting the ILC, and with the leadership of KEK.

Europe's technological expertise and its scientific strength make it a valued potential partner. Japan is approaching Europe both through bilateral discussions with individual countries, in which ILC may appear in a broader landscape embracing other advanced technology topics, and through direct engagement with CERN. It is our hope that CERN will play a leading role in the European participation in the ILC, along the lines described in the conclusions of the 2013 Update of the European Strategy, and also in a similar fashion to that developed for the European participation in the US neutrino program.

ILC is an energy-frontier project that can be started today. It will provide a new opportunity for European physicists in the time frame of the HL-LHC and beyond, as Europe plans and marshalls its resources for the next major CERN project. In this way, the ILC will play a crucial role in encouraging a new generation of researchers to enter particle physics and maintain the continuous tradition and the scientific strength of our enterprise.

In summary, a large world-wide community of particle physicists is eager to join the effort to build the ILC and its detectors, and to pursue its unique physics program. The machine technology is mature and constructionready. The envisaged timeline of the project includes 4 years of preparation phase and 9 years of construction. The ILC will deliver unique contributions in our effort to probe beyond the Standard Model to an ultimate understanding of the fundamental laws of nature. The scientific case for the ILC has become irresistible.

- T. Behnke, J. E. Brau, B. Foster, J. Fuster, M. Harrison,986
 J. M. Paterson, M. Peskin, M. Stanitzki, N. Walker, and987
 H. Yamamoto, (2013), arXiv:1306.6327 [physics.acc-ph].988
- [2] L. Evans and S. Michizono (Linear Collider Collabora-989 tion), (2017), arXiv:1711.00568 [physics.acc-ph].
- [3] T. Barklow, K. Fujii, S. Jung, R. Karl, J. List, T. Ogawa,991
 M. E. Peskin, and J. Tian, Phys. Rev. D97, 053003992
 (2018), arXiv:1708.08912 [hep-ph].
- 980 [4] K. Fujii *et al.*, (2017), arXiv:1710.07621 [hep-ex].
- 981 [5] H. Abramowicz *et al.*, (2013), arXiv:1306.6329995 982 [physics.ins-det]. 996
- 983 [6] M. Peskin *et al.*, (2018), arXiv:17xx.xxxx [physics.acc-997 984 ph]. 998
- 985 [7] G. Piacquadio, plenary lecture at ICHEP 2018 (2018). 999

- [8] G. P. Lepage, P. B. Mackenzie, and M. E. Peskin, (2014), arXiv:1404.0319 [hep-ph].
- [9] LHC, HL-LHC Yellow Report (2018).
- [10] Z. Liu, L.-T. Wang, and H. Zhang, Chin. Phys. C41, 063102 (2017), arXiv:1612.09284 [hep-ph].
- [11] M. Berggren, F. Brümmer, J. List, G. Moortgat-Pick, T. Robens, K. Rolbiecki and H. Sert, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2660 (2013), arXiv:1307.3566 [hep-ph] [hep-ph].
- [12] S. Funatsu, H. Hatanaka, Y. Hosotani, and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Lett. B775, 297 (2017), arXiv:1705.05282 [hep-ph].
- [13] J. Yoon and M. E. Peskin, (2018), arXiv:1811.07877 [hep-ph].
- [14] "Green ILC project," http://green-ilc.in2p3.fr/ home/.

994

- 1000[15] A 41 nm beam size was achieved at TF2; this corresponds0091001to the ILC design goal after scaling for the different beam0101002energies.
- [16] Strube, Jan and Titov, Maxim, "Linear Collider R&Dol2 liaison report," http://www.linearcollider.org/P-D/013
 Working-groups/Detector-R-D-liaison (2018). 1014
- 1006 [17] iLCSoft authors, (2016).
- ¹⁰⁰⁷ [18] F. Gaede, T. Behnke, N. Graf, and T. Johnson, eConfo₁₆ ¹⁰⁰⁸ **C0303241**, TUKT001 (2003), sLAC-PUB-9992, CHEP-

2003-TUKT001, arXiv:physics/0306114 [physics].

- [19] M. Frank, F. Gaede, C. Grefe, and P. Mato, 20th Int. Conf. on Computing in HEP and Nuc. Phys. (CHEP 2013), J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 513, 022010 (2014).
- [20] "Summary of the ILC Advisory Panel's Discussions," http://www.mext.go.jp/component/b_menu/ shingi/toushin/__icsFiles/afieldfile/2018/09/ 20/1409220_2_1.pdf" (2018).

APPENDIX A: ILC250 PROJECT COSTS

Accelerator Construction	Subtotal:	634.0-702.8 B¥	(Ref. TDR)	Operating cost	Subtotal:	36.6-39.2 B¥	(Ref. TDR)
Civil engineering and architecture Accelerator Labor	110.0-129.0 404.2-454.0 119.8 B¥	B¥ B¥ Equivalent to 17.165 Kpers	son-hours	Utilities and maintaenance Labor	29.0-31.6 B¥ 7.6 B¥	Equivalent to 638 Kperson	hours
Detectors	Subtotal:	100.5 B¥	(Ref. TDR)	Other expenditures	Subtotal:	23 B¥	(Ref. TDR)
Detector construction Labor	76.6 B¥ 23.9 B¥	Equivalent to 3.651 Kperso	on-hours	Preparatory phase R&D, environmental assesment, train	23 B¥ ing technology	y transfer, management and	administration
Inaccuracy	Subtotal:	25%	(Ref. TDR)	Contingency	Subtotal:	10%	(Ref. TDR)

FIG. 7. Costs of the ILC250 project are in JPY as being re-evaluated by the Japanese MEXT report in 2018. The following exchange rates were assumed 1 Euro=115 JPY and 1 US\$=100 JPY. These numbers include the cost for civil engineering and the laboratory. Costs not included are land acquisition, living environment for overseas researchers, access roads, groundwater handling, energy service enterprise for power transmission, low power voltage supplies and computer center. The cost premium to cover the project cost with 85% instead of 50% confidence level (loosely speaking, the 1 σ uncertainty of the cost estimate) has been estimated to be 25% of the estimated cost. For more detail see presentation by Sh. Michizono during LCWS 2018 at: https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/7889/timetable/.

Primary cost drivers for the ILC



FIG. 8. Breakdown of major cost drivers of the acclerator.

APPENDIX B: DEFINITION OF THE COMMUNITY



FIG. 9. World wide map distribution of signatories supporting the ILC Technical Design Report.

List of signatories of the ILC Technical Design Report

Country	Institutes Signatories		Country	Institutes	Signatories	
Japan	66	506	Denmark	1	7	
United States of Americ	75	396	Estonia	2	6	
Germany	24	303	Romania	1	5	
France	22	243	Australia	2	4	
Spain	19	163	Turkey	3	4	
United Kingdom	23	150	Vietnam	2	4	
China	7	99	Armenia	2	3	
India	21	64	Cyprus	1	3	
Switzerland	5	62	Finland	2	3	
Italy	19	56	Iran	1	3	
Poland	9	45	Morocco	1	3	
Republic of Korea	14	41	Norway	2	3	
Russia	8	38	Serbia	1	3	
Taiwan	6	36	Slovenia	3	3	
Canada	11	25	Chile	1	2	
Czech Republic	3	20	Mexico	2	2	
Netherlands	3	19	Portugal	1	2	
Austria	2	13	Saudi Arabia	2	2	
Belarus	3	11	Argentina	1	1	
Belgium	4	10	Colombia	1	1	
Israel	2	9	Ireland	1	1	
Sweden	2	8	Malaysia	1	1	
Ukraine	2	8	Oman	1	1	
Brazil	6	7	Philippines	1	1	

FIG. 10. Detailed list of signatories of the ILC Technical Design Report covering 2400 signatories, 48 countries and 392 Institutes/Universities.

APPENDIX C: LIST OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

¹⁰²⁰ Description of supporting documents:

- ILC TDR documents;
- ILC project overview, being specifically produced for the European Strategy Process;
- European ILC Preparation Plan (EIPP), produced under the E-JADE project;
- linear collider Detectors R&D Liasion Report;
- Green ILC project: reports and web page.
- ¹⁰²⁶ Supporting documents web page: https://linearcollider.web.cern.ch/content/ilc-european-strategy-document.
- 1027

1019

APPENDIX D: GLOSSARY

- 1028 Abbreviations and definitions used in the text:
- MEXT: Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (http://www.mext.go.jp/en/).
- Japanese National DIET: The National Diet is Japan's bicameral legislature. It is composed of a lower house called the House of Representatives, and an upper house, called the House of Councillors.
- **ICFA:** International Committee for Future Accelerators (http://icfa.fnal.gov/).
- **JAHEP**: Japanese Association of High Energy Physics.
- European XFEL: The European X-Ray Free-Electron Laser Facility (European XFEL) at DESY (Hamburg, Germany) (https://www.xfel.eu/).
- LCLS-II: The hard X-ray free-electron laser at SLAC (Stanford, USA)(https://portal.slac.stanford.edu/sites/lclspublic/lcls-ii/Pages/default.aspx).
- **E-JADE:** The Europe-Japan Accelerator Development Exchange Programme. E-JADE is a Marie Sklodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE) action, funded by the EU under Horizon2020 (https://www.e-jade.eu/).
- AAA: The Japanese Advanced Accelerator Association promoting science and technology (http://aaasentan.org/en/association/index.html).

• CALICE Collaboration: R&D group of more than 280 physicists and engineers from around the world, working together to develop a high granularity calorimeter system optimised for the particle flow measurement of multi-jet final states at the ILC running, with centre-of-mass energy between 90 GeV and 1 TeV (https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CALICE/WebHome).